PTO/SB/21 (02-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

10/075,090

Application Number

IRANSWITTAL		Filing Date	02/12	02/12/2002	
FORM		First Named Inventor	Mark	Mark H. Delcourt et al.	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Art Unit	2839	2839	
		Examiner Name	LE, T	LE, THANH TAM T	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Num	ber 0275	0275M-000441	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			ter Allowance Communication to roup	
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply	Amendment / Reply		☐ Ap (A)	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		☐ Pro	oprietary Information	
		of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence Addr	ress	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	Time Request Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		uest for Refund Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in duplicate); and postcard.	
Information Disclosure Statement				depirement, and processes.	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Remarks					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing	,				
Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual name Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.		Attorney Name Christopher M. Brock		Reg. No. 27313	
Signature Uninfolic M Brock					
Date April 21, 2004					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.					
Typed or printed name Christopher N	1. Brock		Express Mail Label No.	EV 406 076 706 US (4/21/2004)	
Signature Date April 21, 2004					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10/075,090

Notice of Allowance Dated January 30, 2004

Filing Date:

02/12/2002

Applicants:

Mark H. Delcourt et al.

Group Art Unit:

2839

Examiner:

LE, THANH TAM T

Title:

Grounding Stud

Attorney Docket:

0275M-000441

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 1-15. Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in each identified feature or combination of features, exactly as expressed by the Examiner, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any

of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons For Allowance do not separately address the additional subject matter of Claims 2-15, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that these claims do not present patentable subject matter independent of the patentability of Claim 1.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 21, 2004

Christopher M. Brock Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

CMB:bg